

Illumination by Taylor Polynomials

Alan Horwitz

(Received 22 November 1999)

Abstract

Let $f(x)$ be a differentiable function on the real line R , and let P be a point not on the graph of $f(x)$. Define the illumination index of P to be the number of distinct tangents to the graph of f which pass thru P . We prove that if f'' is continuous and nonnegative on R , $f'' \geq m > 0$ outside a closed interval of R , and f'' has finitely many zeros on R , then any point P below the graph of f has illumination index 2. This result fails in general if f'' is not bounded away from 0 on R . Also, if f'' has finitely many zeros and f'' is not nonnegative on R , then some point below the graph has illumination index not equal to 2. Finally, we generalize our results to illumination by odd order Taylor polynomials.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A06

1 Introduction

The central problem in differential calculus is to find the tangent line to a given curve $y = f(x)$ at a given point $(c, f(c))$ on the graph of f . A somewhat more complicated problem is: Given a point $P = (s, t)$ not on the graph of f , find all values of c so that the tangent line to the graph of f at $(c, f(c))$ passes through P . If such a c exists, we say that the point $(c, f(c))$ illuminates P . A typical example is: find all tangents to $y = x^2$ which pass through the point $(2, 3)$. In this case each of the points $(1, 1)$ and $(3, 9)$ would illuminate P . Of course, it is certainly possible that no tangent line at all passes through the given point (s, t) —e.g. if $y = x^2$ and $P = (1, 3)$. A simple, but interesting exercise is: Let P be any point below the graph of $y = x^2$. Prove that there are exactly two tangents to the graph which pass through P . In considering

this type of problem, the following question naturally arises: given $f(x)$, for which points $P = (s, t)$ is there a tangent line to f which passes through P ? Also, how many tangents pass through P ? The questions above lead to some potentially interesting ideas for research. For example, suppose that f is convex on R , and let P be any point below the graph of $y = f(x)$. Are there always exactly two tangents to the graph which pass through P ? What if one assumes that $f''(x) > 0$ on R ? We give the answers in Section 1 (see, in particular, Theorem 4).

In Section 2, we prove a converse result to Theorem 4. It is also natural to try to extend our results to illumination by *higher* order Taylor polynomials. In Section 3 we prove results similar to Theorem 4 for illumination by *odd* order Taylor polynomials. Most of the proofs extend verbatim, but some results from [2] are needed.

2 Illumination by Tangent Lines

Definition 1 *Let $f(x)$ be a differentiable function on the real line, and let P be any point not on the graph of f . We say that the illumination index of P is k if there are k distinct tangents to the graph of f which pass through P . We include the possibility that $k = \infty$.*

Remark 1 *We say that a tangent line T is multiple if T is tangent to the graph of f at more than one point. If only one tangent line T passes through P , but T is a multiple tangent, we still define the illumination index of P to be one. One could, of course, define an illumination index which takes into account the number of points of tangency of each tangent line.*

As noted earlier, any point below the graph of $y = x^2$ has illumination index 2. We now generalize this to convex C^2 functions in general, with the added condition that f'' is bounded below by a positive number outside some closed interval (see Theorem 4 below). First we prove a couple lemmas.

Lemma 2 *Let $f(x) \in C^2(R)$, and suppose that there exists $T > 0$ such that $f''(x) \geq m > 0$ on $|x| > T$. Let $T_c(x)$ be the tangent line to f at $(c, f(c))$. Then for any fixed s , $\lim_{|c| \rightarrow \infty} T_c(s) = -\infty$.*

Proof. For fixed s , let $g(c) = T_c(s)$, which implies that $g'(c) = (s - c)f''(c)$. Let $U = \max(s, T)$, $u = \min(s, -T)$. It follows that $g'(c)$ is $\begin{cases} \leq 0, & c > U \\ \geq 0, & c < u \end{cases} \Rightarrow g(c)$ is $\begin{cases} \text{decreasing on } (U, \infty) \\ \text{increasing on } (-\infty, u) \end{cases}$. Also, since $f''(x) \geq m > 0$ on $|x| > T$,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g'(c) = -\infty \\ \lim_{c \rightarrow -\infty} g'(c) = \infty \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Partition $[U, \infty)$ into infinitely many subintervals, $[c_{k-1}, c_k]$, of constant width $h > 0$. By (1), given $M > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $g'(c) \leq -M$ for $c \geq C$. Now $g(c_k) = g(c_{k-1}) + \int_{c_{k-1}}^{c_k} g'(t)dt \leq g(U) - Mh$ if $c_{k-1} \geq C$. Since this inequality holds for any $M > 0$, $g(c_k) \rightarrow -\infty$. Also, since the inequality holds for any increasing sequence $\{c_k\} \rightarrow \infty$, with $c_k - c_{k-1}$ constant, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g(c) = -\infty$. A similar argument shows that $\lim_{c \rightarrow -\infty} g(c) = -\infty$. ■

Remark 2 *Lemma 2 is a little easier to prove under the stronger assumption that $f''(x)$ is positive and bounded away from 0 on the real line. One can then just examine the error $E_c(x) = f(x) - T_c(x)$ and use Taylor's Remainder formula.*

Lemma 3 *Suppose that $f''(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and has finitely many zeros in R . Then at most two distinct tangent lines to f can pass through any given point P in the plane.*

Proof. Suppose that three distinct tangents, T_1, T_2, T_3 pass through P , and suppose that the T_i are tangent at $(x_i, f(x_i))$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$. Since f is convex on any open interval, each pair of tangents has a unique point of intersection. Let I_1 equal the intersection point of T_1 and T_2 , and let I_2 equal the intersection point of T_2 and T_3 . Since all three tangents pass through P , $I_1 = I_2 = P$. If $I_1 = (s_1, t_1)$ and $I_2 = (s_2, t_2)$, then, again, since f is convex on any open interval, $x_1 < s_1 < x_2$ and $x_2 < s_2 < x_3$, which implies that $s_1 < s_2$, which contradicts the fact that $I_1 = I_2$.

Theorem 4 Suppose that $f''(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and has finitely many zeros in R . Assume also that there exists $T > 0$ such that $f''(x) \geq m > 0$ on $|x| > T$. Let $P = (s, t)$ with $t < f(s)$. Then there are exactly two distinct tangent lines to the graph of f which pass through P .

Proof. Since $t < f(s)$, for c sufficiently close to s , $T_c(s) = f(c) + f'(c)(s - c) > t$. By Lemma 2, $\lim_{|c| \rightarrow \infty} T_c(s) = -\infty$. Hence, for $|c|$ sufficiently large, $T_c(s) < t$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, $T_c(s) = t$ for at least two values of c . Note also that for a convex function, $c_1 \neq c_2 \Rightarrow T_{c_1} \neq T_{c_2}$. Hence the illumination index of P is at least two. By Lemma 3, the illumination index of P is at most two. That proves the theorem. ■

The following example shows that Theorem 4 does *not* hold in general for functions which only satisfy $f''(x) > 0$ on R .

Example 5 Let $f(x) = \int_0^x \left(\int_0^t e^{-u^2} du \right) dt = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}(x) \sqrt{\pi} x + \frac{1}{2} e^{-x^2} - \frac{1}{2}$, where $\operatorname{erf}(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$. Since $f''(x) = e^{-x^2}$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f''(x) = 0$. We now show that no tangent to f passes through the point $(0, t)$ when $t < -\frac{1}{2} < f(0) = 0$. If the tangent line T_c to f passes through (s, t) , then $f(c) + f'(c)(s - c) = t$. So consider the function $h(x) = f(x) + f'(x)(s - x) - t = \frac{1}{2} e^{-x^2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}(x) \sqrt{\pi} s - t$. If $s = 0$, then $h(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-x^2} - \frac{1}{2} - t \Rightarrow h'(x) = -x e^{-x^2}$, which implies that $h(x)$ is increasing for $x < 0$ and decreasing for $x > 0$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-x^2} - \frac{1}{2} - t \right) = -\frac{1}{2} - t$, if $t < -\frac{1}{2}$ then h is always positive and thus has no real zeroes.

Our definition of the illumination index k includes the possibility that $k = \infty$. Of course, for polynomials the illumination index is always finite (indeed, it's bounded above by the degree of the polynomial). The following example shows that there are entire functions, however, where *almost every* point not on the graph has infinite illumination index.

Example 6 Let $f(x) = \sin x$, and let $P = (s, t)$ be any point not on the graph of f , with $t \neq \pm 1$. The tangent line at $(c, f(c))$ passes through P if and only

if $f(c) + f'(c)(s - c) = t$, that is, when $g(c) = \sin c + (s - c) \cos c - t = 0$. For n sufficiently large and even, $g(n\pi) = (-1)^n(s - n\pi) - t < 0$, while for n sufficiently large and odd, $g(n\pi) > 0$. Hence g has infinitely many zeroes c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots . Note that since $t \neq \pm 1$, none of the zeroes is an odd multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and hence none of the tangents at $(c_j, \sin c_j)$ is horizontal. Now each of these tangents passes through P , but they may not all be distinct. However, since a nonhorizontal line can only be tangent to $y = \sin x$ at finitely many points, it is clear that infinitely many distinct tangents pass through P , and thus P has infinite illumination index.

Remark 3 Given f , one may define, for each nonnegative integer k , the set D_k , equal to the set of points in the plane with illumination index k . The D_k form a partition of $R^2 - G$, where G is the graph of f . For example, if $f(x) = x^3$, it is not hard to show that $D_3 = \{(s, t) : s > 0, 0 < t < s^3\} \cup \{(s, t) : s < 0, s^3 < t < 0\}$, $D_2 = \{(s, t) : s \neq 0, t = 0\}$, $D_1 = G - (D_2 \cup D_3)$, and $D_k = \emptyset$ for $k = 0$ or $k > 3$.

3 A Converse Result

Suppose that $f(x)$ is *not* convex on R . Is it possible for every point below the graph of f to have illumination index 2? The answer is no, and thus we have the following partial converse of Theorem 4.

Theorem 7 Let $f \in C^3(R)$ and suppose that $f''(x)$ has finitely many zeroes in R . If $f''(x)$ is not nonnegative on R , then there is a point P below the graph of f with illumination index not equal to 2.

Proof. . If $f''(x) \leq 0$ on R , then clearly any point P below the graph of f has illumination index 0. Hence we may assume that there are real numbers s and u such that $f''(s) > 0$, $f''(u) = 0$, and $f''(x)$ changes sign at $x = u$, with $f'' \geq 0$ between s and u . We consider the case $s < u$, the other case being similar. Let $P = (s, t)$, with t to be chosen shortly. Now the tangent line at $(c, f(c))$ passes through P if and only if $f(c) - cf'(c) + f'(c)s = t$, which holds if and only if $h(c) = 0$, where

$$h(c) = f(c) - cf'(c) + f'(c)s$$

$h'(c) = (s - c)f''(c)$ and $h''(c) = (s - c)f'''(c) - f''(c)$. Note that $h(s) = f(s)$, $h'(s) = 0$, and $h''(s) = -f''(s) < 0$, so that $h(s)$ is a local maximum of $h(c)$. Since $h'(c) \leq 0$ on (s, u) and $h'(c) \geq 0$ on $(u, u + \epsilon)$, $h(u)$ is a local minimum of $h(c)$. Note that $h(u) < h(s)$. Let T be the line $y = h(u)$, the tangent to h at $(u, h(u))$.

Case 1: T only intersects the graph of h at $(u, h(u))$.

Then let $t = h(u)$.

Case 2: T intersects the graph of h at some point $Q \neq (u, h(u))$. If a Q exists such that $h - T$ changes sign at Q , then $y = h(u) + \epsilon$ intersects the graph of h in at least three points for some $\epsilon > 0$. If no such Q exists, then h must have another local minimum at Q . Then $y = h(u) + \epsilon$ intersects the graph of h in at least four points for some $\epsilon > 0$. In either case, let $t = h(u) + \epsilon$, with ϵ chosen sufficiently small so that $h(u) + \epsilon < h(s)$. Since the zeroes of h correspond to values of c such that the tangent line at $(c, f(c))$ passes through P , for case two there are at least three such values of c . However, it is possible that some of the corresponding tangents could be multiple. It was shown in [3], however, that f can have only *finitely many* multiple tangent lines in any bounded interval. Also, since each tangent is tangent at only finitely many points, we can also choose ϵ sufficiently small so that none of the tangents corresponding to the zeroes of h is multiple. Thus at least three *distinct* tangents pass through P .

In each case covered, P lies below the graph of f since $h(s) = f(s)$. Hence the illumination index of P is either one or greater than or equal to three, and thus cannot equal two. ■

4 Illumination by Higher Order Taylor Polynomials

The results of the previous section can be extended to illumination by Taylor polynomials of order r , r odd. In certain ways, the odd order Taylor polynomials $P_c(x)$ behave like tangent lines. Suppose that $f \in C^{r+1}(-\infty, \infty)$, and let $P_c(x)$ denote the Taylor polynomial to f of order r at $x = c$. In [1] it was proved that if $f^{(r+1)}(x) \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$, then there is a unique u , $a < u < b$, such that $P_a(u) = P_b(u)$. This defines a mean $m(a, b) \equiv u$. We shall prove a slightly stronger version of this result. The method of proof is very similar to that used in [2], where further results and generalizations of the means

$m(a, b)$ were proved.

For the rest of this section we assume that r is an *odd* positive integer.

Let $E_c(x) = f(x) - P_c(x)$. By the integral form of the remainder, we have

$$E_c(x) = \frac{1}{r!} \int_c^x f^{(r+1)}(t)(x-t)^r dt \quad (2)$$

Lemma 8 *Suppose that $f^{(r+1)}(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and has finitely many zeros in $[a, b]$. Then $P_b - P_a$ has precisely one real zero c , $a < c < b$.*

Proof. By (2),

$$E_a(x) = \frac{1}{r!} \int_a^x f^{(r+1)}(t)(x-t)^r dt$$

$$E_b(x) = \frac{1}{r!} \int_x^b f^{(r+1)}(t)(t-x)^r dt$$

$$E'_a(x) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_a^x f^{(r+1)}(t)(x-t)^{r-1} dt \Rightarrow E'_a(x) < 0 \text{ for } x < a \text{ and}$$

$E'_a(x) > 0$ for $x > a$. Hence $E_a(x)$ is strictly increasing on (a, b) . Similarly, $E_b(x)$ is strictly decreasing on (a, b) . Since $E_a(a) = 0$ and $E_b(b) = 0$, there is a unique c , $a < c < b$, such that $E_b(c) - E_a(c) = 0$. This implies that $P_b(c) - P_a(c) = 0$. Now

$$(E_b - E_a)(x) = - \int_a^b f^{(r+1)}(t)(x-t)^r dt \Rightarrow (E_b - E_a)'(x) = -r \int_a^b f^{(r+1)}(t)(x-t)^{r-1} dt \leq 0 \text{ for } x \in R.$$

Since $f^{(r+1)}$ has finitely many zeros, this implies that $E_b - E_a$ is strictly decreasing on R . Hence $E_b - E_a$ has precisely one real zero, which implies that $P_b - P_a$ has precisely one real zero c , $a < c < b$.

Lemma 9 *Suppose that $f^{(r+1)}(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and has finitely many zeros in $[a, b]$. Let P be any point in the xy plane. Then at most two distinct Taylor polynomials of order r at $x = c$, $a \leq c \leq b$, can pass through P .*

Proof. Suppose that three distinct Taylor polynomials of order r , P_{c_1}, P_{c_2} , and P_{c_3} , pass through $P = (s, t)$. Then $(P_{c_2} - P_{c_1})(s) = 0$ and $(P_{c_3} - P_{c_2})(s) = 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $a \leq c_1 < c_2 < c_3 \leq b$. By Lemma 8, $c_1 < s < c_2$ and $c_2 < s < c_3$, which is a contradiction. Hence at most two distinct Taylor polynomials of order r can pass through P .

Lemma 10 *Let $f(x) \in C^{r+1}(R)$. Suppose that there exists $T > 0$ such that $f^{(r+1)}(x) \geq m > 0$ on $|x| > T$. Then for any fixed s , $\lim_{|c| \rightarrow \infty} P_c(s) = -\infty$.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical to that of Lemma 2, and we omit it. ■

Theorem 11 *Suppose that $f^{(r+1)}(x)$ is continuous, nonnegative, and has finitely many zeros in R . In addition, assume that there exists $T > 0$ such that $f^{(r+1)}(x) \geq m > 0$ on $|x| > T$. Let $P = (s, t)$ with $t < f(s)$. Then there are exactly two distinct Taylor polynomials of order r to the graph of f which pass through P .*

Proof. Since $t < f(s)$, for c sufficiently close to s ,

$$P_c(s) = f(s) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (s - c)^k > t. \text{ By Lemma 10, } \lim_{|c| \rightarrow \infty} P_c(s) = -\infty,$$

and hence, for $|c|$ sufficiently large, $P_c(s) < t$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, $P_c(s) = t$ for at least two values of c . Also, it is not hard to show that if $f^{(r+1)}(x) > 0$ on R , then $c_1 \neq c_2 \Rightarrow P_{c_1} \neq P_{c_2}$. Hence the illumination index of P is at least two. By Lemma 9, it is *at most* two. That proves the theorem. ■

Example 12 *Let $f(x) = e^x + x^4, P = (0, 0), r = 3$. Then Theorem 11 applies, and the illumination index of P equals 2. We now verify this by estimating the actual values of c . Let $P_c(x)$ be the third order Taylor polynomial to f at $(c, f(c))$. Then $P_c(0) = e^c - e^c c + \frac{1}{2} e^c c^2 - \frac{1}{6} e^c c^3 - c^4 = 0$ has solutions $c_1 \approx -.9953$ and $c_2 \approx .9782$. Note that if $f(x) = e^x$ instead, then the illumination index of P equals 1. This does not contradict Theorem 11 since $f^{(iv)}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.*

References

- [1] A. Horwitz, Means and Taylor polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 149(1990), 220-235.
- [2] A. Horwitz, Means and averages of Taylor polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176(1993), 404-412.
- [3] A. Horwitz, Reconstructing a function from its set of tangent lines, American Mathematical Monthly 96(1989), 807-813.